

Agenda No. 4.1



Dip Sampling Monitoring Report

Session Date:	18 February 2015
Attendees:	Clive Parsons Tony Ward Tracy Hudson Peter Jones
Complaint Type(s) sampled:	Various
General Comments:	All fairly recent cases, and mostly dealt with professionally. Some cases took a while to resolve but were complex or involved court processes. Only one case seemed to have an unreasonable amount of time to resolve.
Carried Forward:	

No.	Complaint Type	Comments from OPCC	Questions raised and addressed at feedback session at end of visit	Requests for additional information.
CO/0028/14	M & J	A Police Supt was investigating an offence by a detainee. A complaint was initiated in 1/13 by detainee's solicitor on the grounds that Superintendent had excluded the solicitor from interviews with detainee. The complaint became complicated by the fact that the Superintendent retired before he had answered all the questions put by the team investigating the complaint. The criminal investigation too was delayed by the Superintendent's retirement. Reasonable time scale.		

No.	Complaint Type	Comments from OPCC	Questions raised and addressed at feedback session at end of visit	Requests for additional information.
		(Note: Names removed from original notes).		
CO/00762/13	M & J	<p>Complainant made an accusation of 'serious corruption' against an Officer which almost led to an innocent man being accused of child abuse. The child involved was the daughter of the complainant, the niece of the PC.</p> <p>The complaint investigation was ultimately discontinued because of the lack of cooperation of the complainant.</p> <p>Case well investigated and time scale was as short as could reasonably be.</p>		
CO/00712/13	M	<p>Complaint raised 19.05.13. Resolved 5 Dec 14.</p> <p>Initial complaint was disagreement between neighbours and police officer who lived next door accusing the officers of a number of incidents, including noise, dog trespass, etc. All investigated and found no case t answer after appeal. No appeal to IPCC as it went to the Appeals Panel.</p>		
CO/00397/13	B	<p>Complaint raised 8 Jul 13 finalised 02 Dec 14.</p> <p>Complainant stopped for not wearing seat belt, officer smelt cannabis and searched car.</p> <p>Complainant alleges in the search his genitals were touched by the officer. A small amount of cannabis was found in the car. The complainant believes he should not have been searched</p>		

No.	Complaint Type	Comments from OPCC	Questions raised and addressed at feedback session at end of visit	Requests for additional information.
		without a warrant and that the officer touched him inappropriately. An investigation took place but with no cooperation from the complainant. All attempts to contact him failed, hence the delay on resolving the case.		
CO/00102/14	Q	Complaint made 27 March 2014. Applicant notified complaint recorded 8 April and on 14 Sept notified complaint ongoing. Complaint completed 24 November 2014. Officer statements completed Sept/Oct. Complaint regarded how officers treated victim of alleged rape. Seems unreasonable amount of time to respond and complete investigation.		

Key:

Code	Category	Code	Category
B	Sexual assault	N	Breach of Code D PACE on identification procedures
C	Other assault	Q	Lack of fairness and impartiality
E	Unlawful/unnecessary arrest or detention	S	Other neglect or failure of duty
F	Discriminatory behaviour	U	Incivility, impoliteness and intolerance
J	Mishandling of Property	Y	Other sexual conduct